## Application No. Applicant(s) COURTNEY ET AL. 09/872,068 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3767 Michael J. Hayes All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Michael Orth. (1) Michael J. Hayes. (4)\_\_\_\_. (2) James Heslin. Date of Interview: 12 October 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Company's current product for simultaneous aspiration and infusion for removal of vascular debris and introduction of fluids. Reviewed company's embolic protection system including guiding catheter with occlusive balloon and infusing or rinsing catheter. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Willard (5,536,242), Dubrul, Constantz. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will submit an rce with amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Paper No. 20051012